



**PATENT APPLICATION** 6-12-07  
JUN 06 2002

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

in re application of

Docket No: Q56361

Yoshikazu KANEKO, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/424,300

Group Art Unit: 1775

Confirmation No.: 7799

Examiner: A. Piziali

Filed: November 22, 1999

For: STEEL WIRE AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME

**RESPONSE**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated December 6, 2001, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**REMARKS**

This response follows an Office Action of December 6, 2001. The petition and fee associated with a three month extension of time is attached herewith. The Applicant notes with appreciation the allowance of claims 6-12. Also noted with appreciation, is the indication of allowability of claim 5. The Applicant has reviewed the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance with respect to those claims and concurs in the analysis. Indeed, it is believed that the same methodology used by the Examiner to concluded that claims 6-12 were allowable should be followed with respect to rejected claims 1-4. Reexamination and reconsideration of those two rejections is respectfully traversed.

**RECEIVED**  
JUN 11 2002  
**TC 1700**

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**